UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/522,932 | 09/26/2005 | James Alexander Sharpe | 920602-97903 6616 | |
| | 7590 10/07/200 HORNBURG LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 2786 | | CATTUNGAL, SANJAY | | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3768 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/07/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent-ch@btlaw.com

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/522,932 | SHARPE, JAMES ALEXANDER | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | SANJAY CATTUNGAL | 3768 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Re | esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u> | ılv 2008 | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| ′= | | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Cic | sed in accordance with the practice under L | A parte Quayre, 1999 C.D. 11, 40 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Cla | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)□ Cla | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Cla | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · | aim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| · | aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| - ,— | , | ' | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) □ The | e specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/25/05</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of 3) Informati | References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date | 4) | te | | | | |
| • | • • | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/522,932 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 07/08/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Zavislan reference teaches an OCT device where in the claimed invention is an OPT device. Examiner would like to point out that the body of the claims merely recite a light scanning means, a rotary stage and scanning the specimen. The claim language set forth does not limit the claims to a OPT device, it is broad enough to encompass any device with the above mentioned structural limitations. The Zavislan reference meets all the structural limitations and hence the rejection is maintained and is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 7,227,630 to Zavislan et al.
- 4. Regarding Claim 1, Zavislan teaches an apparatus for obtaining an image of a specimen by optical projection tomography, the apparatus comprising light scanning means and a rotary stage for rotating the specimen to indexed positions in each of

Application/Control Number: 10/522,932 Page 3

Art Unit: 3768

which the specimen is in use subjected to a scanning movement of incident light by the scanning means. (Abstract and Fig. 1)

- 5. Regarding Claim 2 and 3, Zavislan teaches that the incident light is scanned in a direction perpendicular to an optical axis followed by the light passing through the apparatus. (Fig. 1 and 2)
- 6. Regarding Claim 4-9, teaches that the light scanning means form part of a confocal scanning microscope. (Abstract and Claim 1)
- 7. Regarding Claim 10, Zavislan teaches that the light is laser light. (abstract and Fig. 1)

Conclusion

- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,932

Art Unit: 3768

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SANJAY CATTUNGAL whose telephone number is

(571)272-1306. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 - 5:00 pm.

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571)272-4956. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737

Page 4

SPC